BEST AVAILABLE COPY

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE			gron, D.C. 20231		
		· I	C	94049B	
08/792,468 01/31/9	/ SHIMIZO			-	
	E5M1/0528		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN I		- c ·	LEE, M	***	
& NAUGHTON 1725 K STREET NW		ART U	NIT PAI	PER NUMBER	
SUITE 1000			2514	16	
WASHINGTON DC 20006		DATE MAILE	ED:	05/28/9	
		•	<i>'</i> .	•	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of					
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		•			
OFF	TICE ACTION SUMMARY			•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosec	cution as to t	he merits is	closed in	
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay	-9				
shortened statutory period for response to this actionichever is longer, from the mailing date of this com	munication. Failure to respond w	ithin the perio	onth(s), or thi od for respons	e will cause	
e application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § : 136(a).	133). Extensions of time may be o	obtained unde	r the provision	ns of 37 CFR	
sposition of Claims		•			
Claim(s) 13 - 36			s/are pending	in the application	
Of the above, claim(s)				om consideratio	
☐ Claim(s)			÷.,	/are allowed.	
Claim(s) /3-36				/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)				e objected to.	
	ard	e subject to re			
pplication Papers		•		•	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	nt Drawing Review PTO-948				
The drawing(s) filed on		iected to by th	ne Fyaminer		
The proposed drawing correction, filed on		. •	_'	disapprove	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			прриотов		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine					
	ammer.				
rlority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, ,	•		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p					
All Some* None of the CERTIFIE	D copies of the phonty documents	s nave been			
received.		•		:	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Series		· · · · ·	. · ·	••	
received in this national stage application from	m the International Bureau (PCT F	lule 17.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:		 .			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesting	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(е).		•	
ttächment(s)			•		
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	1 410				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449	9, Paper No(s). Mrcant				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		()			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	w. PTO-948 (page No 4	ソ		•	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				•	
OCE CEICE	ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING	DAGES "	• . •		

Art Unit: 2514 Representative: Patrick D. Muir (37,403)

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made that this application is a continuation (FWC) of Application Serial No.

08/526,091, filed 11 September 1995, now abandoned, which is a division of Application Serial No.

3 08/274,041, filed 12 July 1994, now pending.

Receipt is acknowledged of the Preliminary Amendment filed 31 January 1997 and 24 March

6 1997, and the Associate Power of Attorney filed 31 January 1997.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/274,041, filed on 12 July 1994.

12 Additional Remarks

4. The application contains disclosure entirely outside the bounds of the allowed claims. Applicants

are required to modify the brief summary of the invention and restrict the descriptive matter so as to be in

harmony with the claims (M.P.E.P. § 1302.01).

Furthermore, the Applicants are reminded that figures 1-5, 7-9, 14-16, 18-28, and 31 are

extraneous subject matter and therefore it should be modified or deleted. Figures 6, 10-13, 17, 29-30, and

32-33 are most pertained to the present claimed invention.

19 20

17

2

7

8

11

13

14

21

Art Unit: 2514 Representative: Patrick D. Muir (37,403)

Drawings

I

4

5

9

10

14

15

2 5. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination

purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Specification

6. Applicants should note that "Page 5, line 24, change "Furthermore" to --Meanwhile--", and "Page

70, line 26, delete "actually"" (see pg. 3, lines 3 and 16 of the Amendment filed 30 August 1996, paper no.

5) were not entered because it could not be located. Please clarify and correct in next response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis
- for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for
- patent in the United States.
- 17 8. Claim 22 drawn to the apparatus, and method claims 27-30, 32-33, and 35-36 are rejected under
- 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Collins, Jr. (US 5,149,947, of the record).
- Collins shows and teaches a portable check-out system having all of the elements and means as
- recited in claim 22 drawn to the apparatus, and method claims 27-30, 32-33, and 35-36. For example,
- 21 Collins teaches the following:
- A purchased commodity accommodating and transporting apparatus/cart 50 (see figs. 3-5; and col.
- 3, lines 46-49) comprising scanning terminal 44 (see figs. 3-5; and col. 3, lines 46+); an accommodation

Art Unit: 2514 Representative: Patrick D. Muir (37,403)

section (see figs. 3-5); a magnetic card reading section [88, 90] (see figs. 3-5; and col. 4, lines 3-8); a

commodity price retrieval section [102, 104] (see fig. 7; and col. 4, lines 30+); and a management section

110 which communicates with a purchased commodity accommodating and transporting apparatus/cart via

wireless communication (see col. 4, lines 37+). Furthermore, Collins clearly shows and discloses that the

above elements and the means are fixed to the purchased commodity accommodating and transporting

apparatus/cart 50 (see fig. 5, and col. 3, lines 46+).

Although, Collins is silent with respect to the "automatic clearing processing section for automatically clearing the amount of money corresponding to the price of the commodity retrieved by said commodity price retrieval section from an account corresponding to the magnetic information of the card read by said magnetic card reading section ...", it is clearly inherent and anticipated by Collins to accomplish the above task/validation of the credit card account upon completion of the purchase.

II

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 2514 Representative: Patrick D. Muir (37,403)

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates

of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the

examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C.

4 § 103.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5 10. Claims 13-21 and 23 drawn to the apparatus, and method claim 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§ 103 as being unpatentable over Collins. The teachings of Collins have been discussed above.

Re claims 13-15, 23, and 34: Although, Collins fail to show or disclose a "prepaid card inputting processing section ... reading remains information of the prepaid card" as recited in claims 13 and 23; "debit card scanner" as recited in claim 34; "a notification section for notifying, when the remains read by said prepaid card ... shortage" as recited in claim 14; and "a selection section for selecting ... inserting a second prepaid card ... purchasing processing is to be ended" as recited in claim 15, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to substitute the credit card system as taught by Collins with a notoriously old and well known prepaid card and/or debit card system due to the fact that the credit card, the prepaid card, and debit card are art recognized equivalent and/or substitution of equivalents. Furthermore, with respect to the "reading remains ... prepaid card", "notification section ...", and "selection section ... inserting a second prepaid card", one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that such practices as discussed above are commonly practiced in the prior art systems (e.g., Metro fare cards are believed to be a prepaid cards whereby the Metro fare card system clearly practices the above practices and/or procedures). Thus, in view of the commonly known practices/procedures, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to incorporate such conventional practices/procedures to the teachings of Collins because such incorporation would have constituted an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Applicant(s): Chizu SHIMIZU et al (235.383) Page 6 Serial Number: 08/792,468

Representative: Patrick D. Muir (37,403)

Art Unit: 2514

Re claims 16-18: As to the "receipt issuance section", Collins discloses a printer 84 which issues a receipt 86 (see col. 3, lines 67+; and col. 4, lines 51-52).

I

3

5

б

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Re claims 19-21: With regard to "receipt issuance selection section for selecting whether the issuance of a receipt by said receipt issuance section is necessary or unnecessary", it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to incorporate such receipt issuance selection section to the teachings of Collins due to the fact that it is notoriously old and well known in the prior art systems, such as retail stores, grocery stores, etc., whereby the vendee can specifically request to the vendor to either provide him/her (i.e., the vendee) with a receipt of the purchases made or to discard it. Since, Collins discloses a stand-alone credit card check-out system and the printer for printing the receipt, in view of the conventional procedures as discussed above, one of ordinary skill in the art would have contemplated that one (i.e., the vendee) may or may not wish to receive a receipt upon completion of the transaction, and therefore, to incorporate the receipt issuance selection section would have constituted an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 31 drawn to the method is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over 11. Collins, in view of Hehemann (DT 2,139,889, of the record). The teachings of Collins have been discussed above.

Collins fail to show or teach that the "transportable container is a hand carried basket".

Hehemann teaches a purchased commodity accommodating and transporting apparatus whereby the transportable container is a hand carried basket (see the English abstract; and figure 2). In view of Hehemann's teaching, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to substitute the transporting cart/trolley as taught by Collins with a notoriously old and well known transporting basket due to the fact that the cart/trolley and the basket are art recognized equivalent and/or

Art Unit: 2514 Representative: Patrick D. Muir (37,403)

substitution of equivalents for transporting goods. Accordingly, due to lack of criticality, the transportable

basket as set forth in claim 31 is not seen to patentably distinct over the teaching of Collins (i.e.,

transportable cart/trolley).

Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Collins, in view of Ehrat (US 3,836,755, of the record). The teachings of Collins have been discussed above.

Collins fail to show or teach "a data reception section for receiving data from a management section, and a power on/off drive section ..." as recited in claim 24; and "a weighing equipment for measuring the total weight of commodities ... detecting the variation of the total weight of the commodities ..., and alarm generation section ..." as recited in claims 25 and 26.

Ehrat teaches a purchasing trolley 2, comprises a reading means 24; a goods basket 18; weighing pan of scales 182; signaling means [SE₁, SE₂]; a purchasing container electronic system 91 to determine whether the data of the data support were correctly read and whether the weight increase measured by the scales coincides within specific limits with the weight data on the data support or whether placing the article into the goods basket was accompanied by a weight increase; an error recognition circuit and transmission section (see col 6, line 13 through col. 7, line 9); weight comparison section 42; weight store [38, 39]; output 95 for off-line operation and output S for on-line operation; check-out station 4 (i.e., POS terminal) having a panel/display [4c, 4i]; and a computer 93 which is capable of transmitting/receiving data from the purchasing trolley, and furthermore, the computer 93 includes the means for enabling and/or disabling the trolley via communication means (see col. 19, lines 58+). See figures 1-5, 13, and 23; abstract; col. 1, lines 5-18; col. 1, line 47 through col. 2, line 21; col. 3, line 15 through col. 4, line 63; col. 6, line 13 through col. 7, line 62; col. 14, lines 4-57; col. 16, lines 38-64; and col. 18, line 1 through col. 19, line 38.

Serial Number: 08/792,468

Art Unit: 2514

eliminated).

fax machine number is (703)308-7723.

Applicant(s): Chizu SHIMIZU et al (235.383)

Page 8

Representative: Patrick D. Muir (37,403)

In view of Ehrat's teaching, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to integrate notoriously old and well known scale/weighing equipment and the means for enabling/disabling the trolley to the teachings of Collins for greater security purposes and a more versatile system (i.e., the modified stand-alone system will inherently measure and monitor (i.e., without the aide of a plurality of personnel) when each article is read-in so that fraudulent manipulations are practically

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

5

6

Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2500 by facsimile transmission. 13. Papers should be faxed to Group 2500 via the PTO fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 2. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989), as modified by the new rules published in the Federal Register dated 22 October 1993. The CP-2

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Lee whose telephone number is (703)305-3503, and who can be reached between the hours of 6:30AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Thursday and every other Friday (first Friday of the bi-week).

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

20

22

23

MGL

May 19, 1997

GROUP 2500